3RD GENERATION PARTNERSHIP PROJECT 2 "3GPP2"

TSG CORRESPONDENCE

Mr. Nick Yamasaki
Chair, 3GPP2 TSG-S
KDDI
GARDEN AIR TOWER
3-10-10, Iidabashi, Chiyoda-ku
Tokyo 102-8460 Japan
mailto:tsgs_chair@3gpp2.org

23 February 2006

Mr. Kevin Holley
OMA REQ Working Group Chair
Kevin.Holley@O2.COM

Mr. Alan Hameed
OMA POC 2 Ad Hoc Chair
alan.hameed@US.FUJITSU.COM

Subject: OMA-REQ-Liaison Statement to 3GPP/PP2 requesting support for PoC Session Priority Access Levels

Dear Kevin and Alan,

Thank you for your correspondence of January 2006. We appreciate OMA engaging 3GPP2 in this matter of mutual interest.

3GPP2 is actively engaged in defining requirements in support for Priority Service in IMS-based networks. TSG-S is currently defining Stage 1 Requirements for the Multimedia Priority Service (MMPS), S.P0117-0 These Stage 1 Requirements are scheduled to be completed in the summer of 2006. The draft document is attached for your review. MMPS is defined to provide priority access to communications resources to authorized users in times of emergencies or disasters in IMS-based networks. This includes the needed priority capabilities for voice, data, video, messaging and other multimedia services to authorized users over the public networks. MMPS envisions that different levels of user priority will exist and that these priority levels are assigned to authorized users and managed by a regional government entity (e.g., National Communications System [NCS] in the U.S.).

The PoC 2.0 Session Priority Access Levels work in OMA may have synergy with the MMPS work item but more information is needed to determine whether the MMPS work addresses the PoC priority service.

The OMA liaison indicates that the primary use case for PoC 2.0 Session Priority Access Level is motivated by the need to support national security and emergency preparedness (NS/EP) official communications. In particular, the Wireless Priority Service (WPS) supported by the National Communications System, and the Integrated Wireless Network (IWN) initiative in the U.S. are mentioned as drivers for this work. It is our understanding that the IWN network is a private land mobile radio (LMR) network, whereas WPS and MMPS are/shall be implemented on public networks.

In the interest of aligning the goals of PoC 2.0 related to its use for priority services with the goals of MMPS, we have the following questions:

1. Are there any specific IWN requirements that would affect the definition of priority service?

2. The OMA liaison describes the 5 levels of priority that could be assigned. How do these 5 levels relate to the PoC Crisis QoE profile that is described in the current baseline requirements of PoC Version 2? For example, are these 5 levels sub-levels under the PoC Crisis QoE profile?
3. Are the 5 priority levels that are described going to be a part of PoC Version 2?
4. Have additional requirements or call flows been developed that describe the PoC Priority Service as envisioned by OMA? If so, please provide these documents to assist 3GPP2 in determining how the PoC priority service relates to the 3GPP2 MMPS work effort.
5. The description of the PoC Priority Service implies that the PoC application requires knowledge of a user's priority level in order to provide the Priority Service. Is this a correct assessment?

We look forward to your response to our questions. We hope that you find this input useful. Should you have any additional requests or comments, please do not hesitate to contact us.

Regards,

Nick Yamasaki
Chair, 3GPP2 TSG-S

Attachment: 3GPP2 S.P0117-0

cc:	George Turnipseed	Chair, TSG-A	tsga_chair@3gpp2.org
	B.K. Yi	Chair, TSG-C	tsgc_chair@3gpp2.org
	Betsy Covell	Chair, TSG-X	tsgx_chair@3gpp2.org
	Y.K. Kim	Chair, 3GPP2 SC	ykkim@lgtel.co.kr
	Victoria Bosserman	3GPP2 Manager	vbosserman@tiaonline.org