



**What is your company's membership level:**




What is your company's member category:




## My company participates in OMA work PRIMARILY to influence the development of the Specifications:


### COMMENTS



## My company participates in OMA work PRIMARILY to participate in IOP work.



### Would your company consider participating in future Interoperability testing of OMA enablers?



## **Would your company consider participating in future Interoperability testing of OMA enablers?**

This might change in future though

In order to facilitate better Operator support of IOP activities, operators should be allowed in future to participate as observers in OMA TestFests whilst safeguarding the confidentiality of TestFest participation

No sure, this depends on our products development plan

Cost effective, timely, rooted in assuring that a service is deployable

Profiling should be consider

A clear indication of who is planning to participate and with what prior to the TF

The OMA profiling and deployment project is a good initiative to be encouraged within OMA

Not directly but with partners

We would like to understand the value of IOP better and this is not entirely clear. The interest shown by the operators during spec development is not reflected in the market e.g. they do not provide the same interest to the vendors, and this appears to be the main problem with IOP and test fest activities

Hope IOP will not only be a spec verification group but an industry accelerator


Looking forward also to participate Virtual Testfests and bi-lateral testing

Provided we as operator is allowed


But in conformance testing

Remotely and selectively

## Is your company interested in IOP for specific areas of enablers? (Choose the top 3)



## What are the specific testing needs of your company? (Choose top 3)





## Based on the definitions above: What testing format would best meet the needs of your company?


COMMENTS

Virtual TestFests are helpful, however they must be supported in an immediate online "as and when needed" basis without requiring significant advanced preparation AND must allow operator participation (consistent with confidentiality needs). Bilateral TestFests whilst also helpful are unappealing, as they are "hidden" and provide insufficient transparency both to the wider community as well as operators


DSDD, Cross SDO cooperation, joint IOP events to show complete end to end implementation

OMA Test fests are not mentioned....

Conformance testing of Clients




**Has your company ever participated in an OMA test event of any kind? (Check all that apply)**




## Does your company NOT participate in OMA Test Events for some reason? (Check all that apply)

COMMENTS




## If OMA discontinued its Interoperability Testing Program, your company would: (Check all that apply)

Comments




## Do you believe that the testing and verification of interoperability of OMA specifications is an important part of OMAs value to the industry?





# My company participates in Interoperability testing with the following organizations:



## **My company participates in Interoperability testing with the following organizations**

SIPit

Huawei participates OMA pilot project

OIPF, DLNA

PTCRB is our main testing organization

With network vendors

I can't choose the button so I'll list the organization which our company often attends: GCF


DLNA, NFC

We do not answer on internal company policies

Global Platform, SIMalliance


Do not know about non-OMA

**My company would be willing to participate in identifying the changes that would make the Interoperability Testing Program more useful to us**





**Are there specific changes OMA could make to it's Interoperability Testing Program that would make it more relevant to your company?**



## **Are there specific changes OMA could make to its Interoperability Testing Program that would make it more relevant to your company?**

Operator (in confidence) observers at TestFests, B) "on-demand" online participation in test events, C) MAJOR cutback in overheads, bureaucracy and leadtime of OMA TestFests, D) close coordination of OMA testing activities with other fora (e.g. GSMA's RCS)

1 Decouple IOP from the normal Specification release. Due to less of IOP champion, IOP Test blocks or delays the release of enablers. (1) More and more enablers do not have commercial deployment plan in short term. (2) Some enablers are too big to carry out IOP test, such as CPM, MobAd, etc. 2 Profiling and Deployment Suite may be a good option.

To organize events that would promote demos of proposed enablers / work items

Continue to support changes that benefit in unifying the WHOLE community in realizing TTM globally

Address the handset implementation as the first priority, and then the network aspects

Further use of bi-lateral & virtual testing

1) To be linked with other IOP initiatives, when applicable. 2) to be able to test profiled specifications

No specific proposals at this time, but refer to an answer to an earlier question: new ways of ensuring interoperability should be sought after. Virtual test fests and bilateral tests are good steps


Simplify the IOP test content and process, let industry know the value of OMA spec is more important. and we should use more attractive method to allure the market persons

Reporting of test results from bi-lateral testing should be made more easy for vendors to do. OMA should also encourage companies to submit bi-lateral test results to OMA TZ


Funding. It was quite expensive to provide test fests

Head towards reference implementations (see Sun/Oracle Java Community Process) including test compatibility kits, certify implementations


# OMA's testing program would be more useful to my company if it were linked to the testing programs of other SSOs / SDOs:




**Demonstrating the feasibility of product implementations of OMA Enablers  
is more important than IOP testing of those products.**



## My company plans to participate in the OMA profiling and deployments suite pilot:



## My company participates in profiling activities outside of the OMA.



# My company would participate in the following OMA activities. (Check all that apply)

